## **REMARKS/ARGUMENTS**

In a Final Office Action mailed July 18, 2006, the Examiner:

- A. issued a restriction election with regard to claims 37-50; and
- B. allowed claims 37-42.

After entry of this amendment, the pending claims are: claims 37-42 and 51-53.

## **REMARKS**

A. Response to Restriction Election

The applicants elect Group I, claims 37-42, for further prosecution in this application. Claims 43-50 are cancelled without prejudice.

B. Allowed Claims 37-42 and New Claims 51-53

Minor changes have been made to clarify allowed dependent claims 39, 40, and 42, without changing their scope. New claims 51-53 have been added, with scope analogous to allowed claim 37.

## **CONCLUSION**

In light of the foregoing, the Applicant requests that claims 37-42 and 51-53 be passed to allowance.

If the Examiner believes a discussion of the above would be useful, he is invited to call the Applicant's attorney, Dr. Robert Beyers, at (650) 843-7528.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: September 13, 2006

Robert B. Beyers, Ph.D.

Reg. No. 46,552

MORGAN LEWIS 2 Palo Alto Square 3000 El Camino Real, Suite 700 Palo Alto, CA 94306